Loxley NDP Meeting 25 July 2017 - Outcomes

Attendees:

Peter Morris - Chairman lan Davidson - Minutes Glynn Jones Sarah Boyle David Brazendale Justin Whitehorn Annie Ashworth (part) Julie Fewins

Neil Pearce - In attendance

1. Apologies:

Jonathan Baker Matthew Watson Peter Coote

2. Declaration of Interest

All those in attendance confirmed that they have submitted a DOI return.

- Minutes of previous meeting Approved
- 4. Matters arising:

None

- Update of Parish Council's response to SDC regarding its proposed Built-up-Area Boundary (BUAB)
 JW and GJ confirmed that the BUAB has been agreed and submitted to SDC.
- 6. Review and confirmation of the proposed sites for future development in Loxley NP has completed his review of the potential development sites in the village. This assessment has been prepared in his role as an independent professional planning officer.

Following NP's presentation there was discussion surrounding his findings and how they match up to potential sites that the committee had agreed at previous meetings (8 June 2017). NP was asked to review his matrix and and look at a) the precise definition of any agricultural land (grade 1 or grade 2); b) whether or not the site was included in the SLA (Special Landscape Area); and c) the potential visual impact of any development.

It was agreed that all the decisions will be subject to a formal report from the Highways Department regarding suitability regarding access.

It was also agreed that those members of the committee who were unable to attend this meeting would be invited to make any comments.

Each potential site was considered and the following table shows the decision made; (NOTE no consideration is being given at this stage to the number or style of any development):

Site	Yes/No – potential for development	Comment
Α	Yes	
В	No	Topography
С	Yes	
D	No	Topography/Access
E	Yes	Vicarage side only
F	No	Access
G	No	Access
Н	No	Access Note - There may be a potential for development at the end of the field opposite Home Farm

AA left the meeting

Site	Yes/No – potential for development	Comment
I	Yes	
J	Yes	This has been offered in the call for sites for 3 houses; maybe able to have more houses here if there is an offer to fund a scheme that will alleviate flooding in this area.
K	Possible	A recent application has been rejected but maybe reconsidered for a fewer number of houses
L	Possible	For social housing
M	No	Topography/Access NOTE – SB was not involved in this decision as she left the meeting for this discussion
N	Yes	

7. Publicity

It was agreed that there will be communication/consultation as soon as possible after the summer holidays (September); the focus, at this stage, will be on the proposed sites. We have to finalise a decision as to whether or not to just refer to the sites being proposed or to also include rejected sites.

8. Project Plan There is still a requirement to prepare a budget and ensure that funds are available.

9. Next Meeting