Loxley NDP Meeting 5 September 2017 - Outcomes

Attendees:

Jonathan Baker - Chair

Peter Morris

Glynn Jones

Peter Coote

Sarah Bovle

Justin Whitehorn

Annie Ashworth

Julie Fewins (part)

Ken Osborne

Apologies:

Ian Davidson

David Brazendale

Matthew Watson

2. Declaration of Interest

All those in attendance confirmed that they have submitted a DOI return. KO to access GJ's from the PC website.

PC suggested SG members should declare the proximity of their homes to any potential sites. The group felt this was unnecessary as their addresses had already been declared.

3. Minutes of previous meeting on the 25th July 2017

Item 6 - SB noted that she had been asked to leave the room while site M was being discussed but had not been invited to rejoin the discussion of site N that followed. PM apologised for this oversight.

Otherwise the minutes were approved as correct.

- 4. Matters arising from the minutes not included elsewhere on the agenda Item 6 SB expressed her opinion that access to site M should not be recorded as an 'issue'. This was disputed by KO. The meeting agreed that this was a legal issue that would need to be resolved by the owners of the properties concerned.
- 5. Next steps do we have enough information on which to make a recommendation to the community?
- a. Proposal from the Joint Chairs

The joint chairs explained their proposal during and after which there was much discussion.

b. Proposal from Peter Coote

PC explained his proposal during and after which there was much discussion. During the discussion JF left the meeting.

c. Group decision

Following discussion of both proposals PM put a formal proposal to the group, seconded by KO, that the group should accept the proposal of the joint chairs with the following provisos:

- i. That NP would need to re-assess the amended sites E and H for adding to the site matrix (JB to follow up with NP)
- ii. That the WCC Highways Department should be required to assess and, as appropriate, re-assess the access to all the sites (PM to follow to with Highways);
- iii. That the proposal would be reviewed at the next meeting of the SG in the light of any further information gathering.

In addition the group agreed further provisos, namely:

- iii. That all NP's site assessments would be made public in any community consultation exercise:
- iv. That any community consultation exercise would also include a full explanation of the processes that the group had employed to identify the sites.

The chair took a vote and the proposal was approved by 5 votes to 3 with no abstentions.

4. Community Consultation

The meeting agreed that this item would need to be deferred until after any decisions made or confirmed at the next meeting.

7. Publicity

No action to be taken for now.

8. Review of Project Plan (PC)

The policies still need to be quality assured by NP (JB to follow up with NP) WCC Highways still needs to review access to all the sites (already actioned above)

9. Budget report (PC)

PC referred the meeting to the project plan for details of the budget.

The main items outstanding are £3,600 allocated to the QA work on the policies and £200 allocated for the work assessing the access to the sites. Both sums need to be spent by the deadline of October 2017.

10. Dates of future meetings

The meeting agreed the following dates for its future meetings:

Tuesday 3rd October

Tuesday 7th November

Tuesday 5th December

11. Any other business

PC announced his decision to resign from the SG. The chair thanked him for his contributions.

AA proposed that in the light of possible concerns over transparency the meeting should reconsider it's decision regarding noting which members of the SG lived adjacent to identified sites.

The meeting closed at 9.00 pm